



**Medtronic**

## Facsimile Cover Sheet

P-8003.00

**To: Examiner Umez**

**Company: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

**Phone:**

**Fax: 703 872 9310**

**From: Daniel G. Chapik**

**Company: Medtronic**

**Phone: 763 514 3066**

**Fax: 763 514 6982**

**Date: September 3, 2003**

**Pages including this  
cover page:**

**Comments: RE: P-8003.00  
Serial No. 09/735,826  
Applicants: Lary R. Larson  
Filed: December 13, 2000**

Attached please find the following documents:

- ☒ Amendment
- ☒ Transmittal

IF TELECOPY IS ILLEGIBLE OR ALL PAGES HAVE NOT BEEN RECEIVED, PLEASE CONTACT MOLLY CHLEBECK AT TELEPHONE (763) 514-3118 IMMEDIATELY.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ON THIS TRANSMITTAL FORM. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS LISTED ABOVE VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
SEP 03 2003

OFFICIAL

SEP. 3. 2003 8:59AM

MEDTRONIC LAW DEPT

NO. 7203 P. 2/10

DOCKET NO.: P-8003.00

PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL**

In re Application of: Lary R. Larson

For: METHOD FOR STACKING SEMICONDUCTOR DIE WITHIN AN IMPLANTED MEDICAL DEVICE

Serial No.: 09/735,826

Filed: December 18, 2003

**CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR §1.8** I hereby certify that this Amendment and Transmittal and the paper(s), as described herein are being sent to telefacsimile No. (703) 872-8310, Art Unit 1785, Attn: Examiner Umez, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 3rd day of September, 2003.

*Molly Chlebeck*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature  
MOLLY CHLEBECK  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed Name

Mail Stop NON-FEE AMENDMENT  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

We are transmitting herewith the attached:

X AMENDMENT

**FEE CALCULATION:**

FEE CALCULATION	No. of Claims Filed	No of Claims Previously paid for	No. of Extra Claims	Rate	Fee
Total Claims	46	26	20	x 18	\$360.00
Independent Claims	3	3	0	x 84	\$0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims				+ 270	
<b>TOTAL</b>					<b>\$360.00</b>

☐

Applicant hereby petitions for one-months' extension of time. If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this petition therefor.

☒Please charge Deposit Account No. 13-2546 in the amount of **\$360.00** for the extra claims fee.

X

Please charge any additional fees or credits to Deposit Account No. 13-2546 which may have been overlooked on this Amendment Transmittal with regard to this filing. A duplicate of this transmittal is enclosed.

X

Applicant believes that no extension of time is required. However, if an extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for an extension of time.

Date

*DG*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel G. Chapik, Reg. No. 43,424  
Telephone: (763) 514-3066  
No. 27,581

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
SEP 03 2003

OFFICIAL

Docket No.: P-8003.00

PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

In re Application of:	Lary R. Larson	Group Art Unit:	1765
Application No.:	09/735,826	Examiner:	L. Umez Eronini
Filing Date:	December 13, 2000		
For:	METHOD FOR STACKING SEMICONDUCTOR DIE WITHIN AN IMPLANTED MEDICAL DEVICE		

---

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-RESPONSIVE AMENDMENT**

Mail Stop RCE  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please enter the following amendment and consider the following remarks. The present amendment and remarks assumes that the claim set previously submitted with the preliminary amendment accompanying the request for an RCE has not been entered. Specifically, claims 1-21 were previously cancelled during prosecution and were improperly reinstated with the preliminary amendment. Thus, assuming non-entry, claims 1-21 are therefore still cancelled. New claims 26-46 correspond to original claims 1-21. It is believed that this format is correct and the Examiner is requested to consider the merits of the claims and remarks presented herein.